

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/562,726	Applicant(s) COUILLARD ET AL.
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1797

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Alan E. Schiavelli.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed cancelling the nonelected invention and enhancing the clarity of claim 7 to place the case in condition for allowance. Alan E. Schiavelli then authorized the changes by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)